WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

| 1 | 1. A method for blocking electronic text communication distributed in |
|----|---|
| 2 | bulk, the method comprising: |
| 3 | receiving a first electronic and a second electronic submission; |
| 4 | extracting a first portion from the first electronic submission and a second |
| 5 | portion from the second electronic submission; |
| 6 | determining a first code for the first portion and a second code for the |
| 7 | second portion, wherein the first code is indicative of the first portion and the second code |
| 8 | is indicative of the second portion; |
| 9 | comparing the first code to the second code; and |
| 10 | filtering the second electronic submission in response to comparing the |
| 11 | first code to the second code. |
| 1 | 2. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 1, wherein the filtering of the second electronic submission |
| 3 | comprises storing the second electronic submission in a bulk mail folder. |
| | |
| 1 | 3. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 1, wherein the first portion is extracted from visible text in the |
| 3 | first electronic submission. |
| 1 | 4. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 1, the method further comprising: |
| 3 | modifying a count in response to the comparing of the first code with the |
| 4 | second code; |
| 5 | determining if the count reaches a threshold; |
| 6 | comparing a third code associated with a third message; and |
| 7 | filtering the third message if the third code matches the second code. |
| 1 | 5. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 1, wherein the first portion is related the first code by one of a |
| 3 | hash function, a checksum and a cyclic redundancy check (CRC). |

| I | 6. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
|----|---|
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 1, wherein each of the first and second codes is represented in less |
| 3 | bits than a corresponding portion. |
| 1 | 7. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 1, wherein the first and second electronic submissions are chosen |
| 3 | from the group consisting of an electronic mail message, a chat room comment, an instant |
| 4 | message, a newsgroup posting, an electronic forum posting, a message board posting, and |
| 5 | a classified advertisement. |
| 1 | 8. A method for blocking electronic text communication distributed in |
| 2 | bulk, the method comprising: |
| 3 | receiving a first electronic submission; |
| 4 | extracting a first portion from the first electronic submission; |
| 5 | determining at least a first code for the first portion, wherein the first code |
| 6 | is indicative of the first portion; |
| 7 | receiving a second electronic submission; |
| 8 | extracting a second portion from the second electronic submission; |
| 9 | determining at least a second code for the second portion, wherein the |
| 10 | second code is indicative of the second portion; |
| 11 | comparing the first code with the second code; |
| 12 | modifying a count in response to the comparing of the first code with the |
| 13 | second code; |
| 14 | determining if the count reaches a threshold; and |
| 15 | filtering subsequent electronic submissions similar to the first electronic |
| 16 | submission in response to determining if the count reaches the threshold. |
| 1 | 9. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 8, wherein the filtering subsequent electronic submissions |
| 3 | comprises storing the subsequent electronic submissions in a bulk mail folder. |
| 1 | 10. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 8, wherein the first and second codes are each a number |
| 3 | represented in a same number of hits. |

| 1 | 11. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
|----|---|
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 8, wherein the first portion is related the first code by one of a |
| 3 | hash function, a checksum and a cyclic redundancy check (CRC). |
| 1 | 12. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 8, wherein each of the first and second codes is represented in less |
| 3 | bits than a corresponding portion. |
| 1 | 13. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 8, wherein the first and second electronic submissions are chosen |
| 3 | from the group consisting of an electronic mail message, a chat room comment, an instant |
| 4 | message, a newsgroup posting, an electronic forum posting, a message board posting, and |
| 5 | a classified advertisement. |
| 1 | 14. A method for blocking electronic text communication distributed in |
| 2 | bulk, the method comprising: |
| 3 | receiving a first electronic submission; |
| 4 | extracting a first plurality of portions from the first electronic submission; |
| 5 | determining a first plurality of codes for the first plurality of portions, |
| 6 | wherein each of the first plurality of codes is indicative of its respective portion; |
| 7 | receiving a second electronic submission; |
| 8 | extracting a second plurality of portions from the second electronic |
| 9 | submission; |
| 10 | determining a second plurality of codes for the second plurality of |
| 11 | portions, wherein each of the second plurality of codes is indicative of its respective |
| 12 | portion; |
| 13 | comparing the first plurality of codes with the second plurality of codes; |
| 14 | modifying a count in response to the comparing of the first plurality of |
| 15 | codes with the second plurality of codes; |
| 16 | determining if the count reaches a threshold; and |
| 17 | filtering similar electronic submissions in response to determining if the |
| 18 | count reaches the threshold. |

| 1 | 15. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
|---|--|
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 15, wherein the filtering similar electronic submissions comprises |
| 3 | storing the similar electronic submissions in a bulk mail folder. |
| 1 | 16. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 15, wherein the comparing the first plurality of codes with a |
| 3 | second plurality of codes comprises determining if a percentage of the first plurality of |
| 4 | codes exactly matches one of the second plurality of codes. |
| 1 | 17. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 15, wherein each of the first plurality of portions is respectively |
| 3 | related to its code by one of a hash function, a checksum and a cyclic redundancy check |
| 4 | (CRC). |
| 1 | 18. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 15, wherein the first and second electronic submissions are |
| 3 | chosen from the group consisting of an electronic mail message, a chat room comment, a |
| 4 | instant message, a newsgroup posting, an electronic forum posting, a message board |
| 5 | posting, and a classified advertisement. |
| 1 | 19. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 15, wherein the codes are each a number represented in a same |
| 3 | number of bits. |
| 1 | 20. The method for blocking electronic text communication distributed |
| 2 | in bulk recited in claim 15, wherein each codes is represented in less bits than a |
| 3 | corresponding portion. |